



## What's class got to do with it?

*Social class and language awareness in Newcastle*

Jensen, Marie Møller

*Publication date:*  
2014

*Document Version*  
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Jensen, M. M. (2014). *What's class got to do with it? Social class and language awareness in Newcastle*. Abstract from Northern British Conference, Rouen, France.

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## WHAT'S CLASS GOT TO DO WITH IT? SOCIAL CLASS AND LANGUAGE AWARENESS IN NEWCASTLE

Investigations of the impact of socio-economic class membership on language use are numerous within the field of sociolinguistics. After decades of research, certain patterns seem have been established when it comes to the use of standard and non-standard forms by members of different socio-economic classes (Ash 2013, Chambers 2003).

But how can socio-economic class best be described? As observed by Ash (2013) there seems to be little consensus among sociolinguistics as to the best ways to operationalize this variable. The consensus only diminishes when looking to sociology and the intricate parameters used within this field. However, one might wonder if speakers are solely products of their social environments? Does a speaker's own definition of him/herself play a larger role than a supposedly objective classification based on income, education, etc.?

A questionnaire study carried out in Newcastle upon Tyne in the summer 2012 forms the foundation for an investigation into Tyneside speakers' awareness of vernacular morphosyntactic forms. Statistical analyses showed interesting patterns with regard to speakers' definition of own social class and their level of education and the different groups' performance on different questionnaire tasks.

In short, there was only a very weak correlation between participants' own definition of social class and that based on their level of education. Furthermore, the group of participants who identified as middle class but were not highly educated performed significantly different on the tasks compared to the rest of the participants.

These results raise questions not only about methodology (e.g. how to operationalize class and the usefulness of this distinction in investigations of language use in the north of England, c.f. Wales (2000) and Lancaster (2005)) but also about speaker agency. The results suggest that perhaps taking into consideration how speakers define themselves in terms of different parameters (e.g. social class) might be a useful way to investigate the socio-cognitive factors which influence language use and perception.

### References

Ash, Sharon. 2013. Social Class. In J.K Chambers and Natalie Schilling-Estes (eds.), *Handbook of Language Variation and Change*, 350-367. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Chambers, Jack K. 2003. *Sociolinguistic theory*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

Lancaster, Bill. 2005. Newcastle – capital of what? In Robert Colls & Bill Lancaster (eds.), *Geordies: roots of regionalism*, 53-69.

Wales, Katie. 2000. North and South: an English linguistic divide? *English Today* 16(1). 4-15.